

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/517,353	03/02/2000	Chad Byron Moore	MRE-9	MRE-9 5133	
20808	7590 06/02/2003				
	MICHAELS, PC	EXAMINER			
118 NORTH			DOAN, JENNIFER		
ITHACA, N	Y 14850		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2874		
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ph		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•		
Office Action Summany		09/517,353	MOORE, CHAD BYRO	N 		
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jennifer Doan	2874			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	; 		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the	136(a). In no event, however, may a rolly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e. cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communi	cation.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	March 2003 .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.		•		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			rits is		
·	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) $1-39$ is/are pending in the applicatio					
E/_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,12,14,15,20,21,23,26-31 and 36-39</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) 9, 11, 13, 16-19, 22, 24, 25 and 32-3	·				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/oion Papers	or election requirement.				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		he Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ d	isapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	pplication No			
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Brown attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	е		
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional appl	ication).		
	a) \square The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
Attachmer	nt(s)					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and	rademark Office			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Application/Control Number: 09/517,353

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 15, 20, 21, 23, 26-31 and 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cheo (U.S. Patent 3,924,931).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 8, 10, 20, 26-29, 36 and 37, Cheo discloses (Figs. 6 and 7) a fiber for use in an electronic display comprising at least one electrode (32); a lens function (42, 44) designed into a part of the fiber (34); forming a perform including two distinct materials to form the fiber, wherein the lens function is created inside the fiber using a material to form the lens having a different index of refraction than the fiber material (column 5, lines 6-9); further comprising one reflecting region within the fiber or on the fiber surface as shown in as shown in Fig. 7.

Regarding claims 2 and 21, wherein the electrode is a metal wire (column 6, lines 38-41.

Application/Control Number: 09/517,353 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

Regarding claims 3 and 4, wherein the lens function changes a direction or a focus of the light passing through the fiber (column 4, line 50-column 5, line 5).

Regarding claim 6, wherein the lens function is selected from the group consisting of a convex, concave and a combination of convex and concave shapes as shown in Fig. 6.

Regarding claim 12, wherein the fiber is composed of a material glass and plastic (column 6, lines 36-37).

Regarding claim 14, wherein the lens function corrects for a chromatic aberration (column 4, lines 50-65).

Regarding claims 15, 23 and 31, wherein the electronic display is a multiple view display (column 4, line 50-column 5, line 5).

Regarding claim 30, further (Figs. 6 and 7) comprising a waveguide (34) formed from the two transparent materials such that the waveguide directs light (38) through an aperture created in the fiber.

Regarding claims 38 and 39, Cheo disclose, Figs. 4-7, a method of creating a three-dimensional image in a display having a multiple electrodes (32) and lens (42 and 44) comprising subdividing a voltage that creates the appearance of depth in one pixel location between more than one of the electrodes in the one pixel location such that the appearance of depth is perceived to be between either appearance of depth created by applying the voltage to any one of the electrodes and further dividing the light intensity map in the pixel location between the electrodes as shown in Figs. 4 and 5.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/517,353

Art Unit: 2874

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheo as cited above.

Cheo discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention except Cheo does not disclose the lens function is selected from the group consisting of a binary, a Fresnel and lenticular lenses as recited in claim 7. However, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the lens function with the above shapes, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the figure of a component. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976)*.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 9, 11, 13, 16-19, 22, 24, 25 and 32-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to disclose or reasonably suggest a fiber comprising one absorbing region which acts as a black matrix to separate one part of the fiber from

Application/Control Number: 09/517,353

Art Unit: 2874

Page 5

another part of the fiber as recited in claims 9 and 11 and defines the source of light exiting the aperture as recited in claim 22; wherein one part of the fiber is colored as recited in claim 13; wherein the display is three-dimensional as recited in claims 16, 24 and 32; and wherein the three-dimensional display is a stereoscopic display as recited in claim 25.

Claims 17-19 and 33-35 are dependent on the objected claims 16 and 32 respectively.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments in the remarks, filed 03/10/2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-39 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Cheo (U.S. Patent 3,924,931) since Cheo not only discloses a switching function but also teaches the laser beam propagation in the thin film; therefore, Cheo definitely discloses a fiber for use in an electronic display. This action is **NOT** made final.

Conclusion

- 8. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. This action is **not** made final.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huh et al. (U.S. Patent 6,236,799) flat panel display device using

Art Unit: 2874

optical waveguide; Bhagavatula et al. (U.S. Patent 5,984,747) and Moore (U.S. Patent 6,247,987) discloses a plasma displays having fibers.

10. Any inquiry concerning the merits of this communication should be directed to Examiner Jennifer Doan whose telephone number is (703) 308-6179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 6:30am to 4:00pm, first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick, can be reached on (703) 308-4819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

AKM ENAYET ULLAH PRIMARY EXAMINER

JD

JD

May 15, 2003